

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,178	08/24/2001	Leonard D. Rood	ROODF 103	2604	
	7590 01/30/2003				
	FOSTER, PHILLIPS	& POLLICK	EXAMI	NER	
	7632 SLATE RIDGE BOULEVARD REYNOLDSBURG, OH 43068		COLE, ELIZABETH M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		- VC -
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0
Office Action Summary		09/939,178	ROOD, LEONARD) D.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAN INC DATE AND	Elizabeth M Cole	1771	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
- External control con	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rely within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this co	mmunication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)		is action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	1.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
Applicati	on Papers	Treation requirement.		
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·		
10)[] 7	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeva	nce See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
11) 🔲 7	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ dis	sapproved by the Examiner	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	•	
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	-		
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		plication No.	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list o	ty documents have been re	eceived in this National St	age
14) 🗌 Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(e) (to a provisional a	anlication)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has bee	n received	орисацоп).
Attachment(s)	. ,	3 .20 and/01 (2),	
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	4) Interview Su 5) Notice of Infe 6) Other:	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ormal Patent Application (PTO-1	· 52)
5. Patent and Trad FO-326 (Rev.	emark Office	on Summary		

Serial Number: 09/939,178 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. Claims 5, 6, 8, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 5-6 and 9-10, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Also, it is not clear how the wood fibers, shredded cardboard, wood mulch and sawdust are different from "cellulosic fibers and paper pieces". Are they included in the weight of "Cellulosic fibers?

In claim 8, the recitation "of the type" renders the claim indefinite because it is not clear what is meant by "type", ie., is this an additional limitation which further defines the insulation?

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rood, U.S. Patent No. 5,455,065 in view of Smith, U.S. Patent No. 4,468,336 and Applicant's specification at page 3, lines 1-2. Rood discloses an insulation product comprising shredded cellulosic materials which are coated with an anti-static agent and limestone and then admixed with a fire retardant agent. The proportions disclosed by Rood are the same as those in the instant invention. See col. 3, lines 17-41. Rood differs from the claimed invention because Rood

Serial Number: 09/939,178 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

does not disclose adding positively charged fibers to the insulation product. Smith teaches that including staple fibers such as polypropylene, polyethylene, acetate, acrylic, vinyl and polyester fibers extends the insulation and therefore a lower weight of insulation is required for a given space. See col. 1, lines 65-67 and col. 2, lines 40-45. Applicant's specification states that these fibers are positively charged. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included staple fibers in the insulation material of Rood as taught by Smith. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include the staple fibers in order to extend the cellulosic insulation.

- 4. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. JP 54-103,427 (abstract only), discloses incorporating positively charged asbestos fibers into negatively charged mixture in order to form a uniform product.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for official faxes is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for official after final faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

Art Unit: 1771

Clicabeth M. Cole
Elizabeth M. Cole
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1771

e.m.c January 22, 2003